Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,195	PARK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DIONNE PENDLETON	2627	

	DIONNE PENDLETON	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
REPLY FILED 31 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request		
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a) They raise new issues that would require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the properties). 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying to	he issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 18 is furth 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	er limiting and would require furher	consideration and/or	search. (See		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: 1-17.					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18 and 20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
/Wayne Young/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627					

Continuation of 13. Other: Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5-31-11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, " '... Izumi illustrates and describes a light reception area

4 ió having "four divisional light reception planes" and light reception areas 411 and 412 having "two divisional light reception planes" - not that a "first detector" is "divided into greater than four but no more than eight detecting regions," and a "second detector" is divided into greater than four but no more than eight detecting regions." Applicants submit that !zumi does not teach or suggest a "first detector" that is "divided" into "greater than four but no more than eight detecting regions." "

Though the Applicant expressly states that Izumi fails to teach "the first detector is divided into greater than four but no more than eight detecting regions and the second detector is divided into four detecting regions", the Applicant's rationale for this conclusion is not immediately clear. The Applicant appears to take the position that reception areas 410, 411 and 412 cannot be interpreted as corresponding to "a first detector" of the Applicant's claim. However, as shown in Figure, 14, output signals from 410, 411 and 412 are further processed so as to produce CD Tracking Error Signal. Therefore, 410, 411 and 412 are reasonably interpreted as corresponding to a first detector, as their combined light reception areas produce a CD Tracking Error Signal. The rejection of at least claim 18 under 35 USC 103 is therefore maintained.